

Ex. 2 now online.

~~Class in Hebrew today (? ! ? !)~~

Random spanning forests

~~PROOF LATER~~

G - ~~random~~, infinite connected weighted graph
(even infinite-countable-degrees allowed as long as $\sum_y c_{xy} < \infty$, "locally finite").

Let G_n be a finite exhaustion.

Put μ_n^F for the UST measure on G_n .

For any finite set of edges $B = \{e_1, \dots, e_m\} \subset N \forall n > N$,

$$B \subseteq G_n, \text{ so } \mu_n^F(B \subseteq \text{UST}) = \prod_{k=1}^m \mu_n^F(e_k \in \text{UST} | e_1, \dots, e_{k-1} \in \text{UST}) =$$

$$\prod_{k=1}^m \mu_n^F(e_k \in \text{UST}; G_n / \{e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}\}) \stackrel{\text{Kirchhoff}}{=} \prod_{k=1}^m I(e_k; G_n / \{e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}\}) \stackrel{\text{R. monotonicity}}{\geq}$$

$$\prod_{k=1}^m I(e_k; G_{n+1} / \{e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}\}) = \mu_{n+1}^F(B \subseteq \text{UST}). \text{ So } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n^F(B \subseteq \text{UST})$$

converges. Call the limit $\mu^F(B)$. Similarly

$\mu_n^F(B \subseteq \text{UST}, A \cap \text{UST} = \emptyset)$ converges (using inclusion-exclusion)
 $\mu(e_1 \in \text{UST}, e_2 \notin \text{UST}) = \mu(e_1 \in \text{UST}) - \mu(e_1, e_2 \in \text{UST}).$

~~Now, Kolmogorov extension thm:~~ Suppose ν_k are prob.

measures on \mathbb{R}^* that are consistent, i.e. $\nu_{k+1}([a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times [a_k, b_k]) =$

$\nu_k([a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times [a_k, b_k])$ then \exists prob. measure on \mathbb{R}^N ν s.t.

$\nu(\{w \mid w_i \in [a_i, b_i] \text{ } \forall i \in \{k\}\}) = \nu_k(\text{---})$. So, \exists prob. measure ~~on~~ on

subgraphs of G (2^E) - the Free Uniform Spanning

Tree, FUSF/FSF.

Wired version let $G_n^w = (\text{identify } G \setminus G_n \text{ to a single vertex } z_n)$.

$\mu_n^w = \text{UST on } G_n^w$. By a similar argument

(increasing instead of decreasing) $\mu_n^w(B) \leq \mu_{n-1}^w(B)$

and we can describe μ^w prob. measure on (2^E)

subgraphs of G - "Wired Uniform Spanning Forest"; WUSF/WSF.

μ^F and μ^W don't depend on choice of exhaustion. By

R. monotonicity - can always interlace

$\forall e_1, \dots, e_m$ a cycle, $\mu^{F/W}(e_1, \dots, e_m) = 0$ (since they are contained in all G_n from a certain point onwards).

also $\mu^{F/W}$ - a.s. spanning by the same argument.

$\mu^{F/W}$ are invariant to graph automorphisms - if $\varphi: G \rightarrow G$ is a network auto. too - conserves weights

a graph automorphism. Then any event A measurable

in the sigma-algebra generated by cylinders,

$$\mu^F(A) = \mu^{F/W}(\varphi A) \quad (\text{consider } \varphi(G_{n-1})).$$

G recurrent $\rightarrow \mu^F = \mu^W$, since the probability that a random walker on G will reach $G \setminus G_n$ tends to 0

Example as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $G = 3\text{-reg. infinite tree}$. $\mu^F(T = G) = 1$. We claim $\mu^W(e \in T) < 1$.

$$P^{G_n}(T_e < T_{e'}^-) \geq \frac{1}{3} + c \text{ so } \mu^W(e \in T) < 1.$$

μ^F, μ^W -a.s. all trees are infinite.

$$I(e; G_n^*) \geq I(e; G_n^W), \text{ so } \mu^F(e \in T) \geq \mu^W(e \in T).$$

Also, by cor. from last week, for any increasing

event A , $\mu^F(A) \geq \mu^W(A)$. This implies you can

couple μ^F and μ^W s.t. $WUSF \subseteq FUSF$, i.e. \exists prob.

Measure P on pairs of spanning forests s.t.

$$P((A, 2^E)) = \mu^W(A), P((2^E, A)) = \mu^F(A) \text{ but } P(A_1, A_2) | A_1 \cap A_2 \} = 1.$$

Coupling - example $p < q$, product measures on $\{0, 1\}^n$.

To couple, let $u_i \sim \text{unif}[0, 1]$, $x = (1_{(u_i < p)})_{1 \leq i \leq n}$

and $y = (1_{(u_i < q)})_{1 \leq i \leq n}$, so a.s. $x \leq y$ pointwise,
 $x \sim p$, $y \sim q$...

Thm. (Strassen's) Let (x_i) be a partially ordered set, μ_1, μ_2 two prob. measures on X . $A \subseteq X$ is

increasing iff $x \in A \wedge y \geq x \rightarrow y \in A$ TFAE:

i) $\forall A$ increasing, $\mu_1(A) \leq \mu_2(A)$.

ii) \exists coupling of μ_1, μ_2 ~~with~~ $2 \rightarrow 1$ Immediate

$x_1 = x_2 \text{ for } x_1 < x_2$

$\mu_1(x) = \mu_2(x)$

$2 \rightarrow 1$. Max-Flow min-cut.