

13/1/15
W12D3

The Random Spanning Trees

G Cayley $f: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ invariant [$f(x, y) = f(\sigma x, \sigma y)$] then
 $\sum_{x \in G} f(0, x) = \sum_{x \in G} f(x, 0)$. Consider a prob. measure P on $2^{E(G)}$ that is
invariant [$P(A) = P(\sigma A)$].

Ex 1. Can P on $2^{E(G)}$ be concentrated on 1 vxs? If $X \subseteq V$ is
the random subset, can $P(|X|=1)=1$? No, since
 $\int_P P(X=v) > 0$ then it's equal for any $v \in G$. If $P(|X|=1)$
 $P(x \in V \setminus X, x \in U) = 0$ so $\sum P(X=v) = \infty > 1$.

Ex 2. Can $P(|X|=k)=1$? No, create P' by choosing X by P , then
choosing $v \in X$ u.a.r.- P' contradicts ex. 1.

Ex. 3 P inv. on $2^{E(G)}$. ~~All furcations if removing all~~
fin. clusters is 0 or ∞ , since o/w we can choose $v \in V$
uniformly at random from the union of those clusters,
contradicting ex. 1.

Ex 4. Recall $\#$ is a furcation of $w \in 2^E$ if removing it
splits the $\#$ component of x to ≥ 3 components. # of such
points in 0 or ∞ with probability 1.

Ex. 5 Each cluster has ∞ or 0 furcation points: Let
 $N(x, w) = \#$ of furcations in $C(x)$. Let $F(x, y, w) = \begin{cases} 0 & N(x, w) \in \{0, \infty\} \\ 1 & 0 < N(x, w) < \infty \\ \frac{N(x, w)}{N(x, w)} & y \text{ furcation} \\ 0 & \text{o/w} \end{cases}$
 $f(x, y) = \mathbb{E}_P[F(x, y, w)]$ is a mass transport. Then
 $\sum f(0, y) \leq 1$ but $\sum_{y \in G} f(y, 0) \in \{0, \infty\}$, also $P(0 \text{ furcation in a}$
 $\text{cluster with } 0 < \#\text{furcation} < \infty) = 0$ ~~but~~ - this is true for
any vertex x so $P(\text{the above happens anywhere in } G) = 0$.

Prop P inv. on 2^E s.t. w is a.s. a forest with $< \infty$ ~~spanning all trees~~
Then $\mathbb{E}[\deg_w 0] < 2$.

pf. $x \rightarrow y$ mass $\frac{\deg_w(x)}{|E(x)|}$. Mass out - $\mathbb{E} \deg_w 0$. Mass in ~~of other~~
~~that is $\mathbb{E}[\text{mass out} - \mathbb{E}[\text{mass in } x \text{'s cluster}]] < \infty$~~

1) If a.s. every tree has $\frac{1}{2}$ ends then $\mathbb{E}[\deg_{\omega}|_{\omega \in F}] = 2$.

2) If a.s. some tree has ≥ 3 ends $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[\deg_{\omega}|_{\omega \in F}] > 2$.

pf. Let $\xi(x, y, F)$ be the indicator that $\exists \infty$ ray $x \xrightarrow{\text{single edge}} y \rightarrow \infty$ in F .
 $\xi(x, y, F) = \begin{cases} 2\xi(x, y, F) & \text{if } \xi(y, x, F) = 0 \\ 0 & \text{o/w} \end{cases}$, $f(x, y) = \mathbb{E}$ of that.

$$\text{For } x \in F, \quad \mathbb{E}[F(x, y, F) | F] = F(x, 0, F) = 2 \deg_F(0). [(\rightarrow \leftarrow) - 2].$$

By mass transport, $\mathbb{E}[\deg_F|_{\omega \in F}] = \sum_x f(x, x)$. If all comps. are 1 or 2 ended the mass out is 2, o/w > 2 .

Back to WMSF -

Prop A cayley, $\mathbb{E} \deg_{\text{WMSF}} = 2$ and therefore each tree has at most 2 ends.

pf. Recall $e \in \text{WMSF} \Leftrightarrow U(e) \in Z_w(e) = \inf_{\substack{\text{ex. path} \\ e \rightarrow e}} \sup \{U(e') | e' \in P\}$.

For $p < 1$, let $\eta_p = \{e | U(e) \leq p Z_w(e)\}$. η_p is a collection of trees with ≤ 1 end. So $\mathbb{E} \deg_{\eta_p} \leq 2$. But $\text{WMSF} = \bigcup_p \eta_p$ and by dominated convergence $\mathbb{E} \deg_{\text{WMSF}} \leq 2$. By prev. thm. All comp. are 1 or 2 ended $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E} \deg_{\text{WMSF}} = 2$.

Thm G cayley graph with $\Theta(p_c) = 0$. Then a.s. each comp. of WMSF is 1-ended.

pf. Let e_1, e_2, \dots be the invasion tree of x . Since $\Theta(p_c) = 0$,

$\forall k \sup_{n \geq k} U(e_n) > p_c$. Also, recall we proved - a.s. $\forall p > p_c$

$\forall x \in V$, $I(x)$ intersects some ∞ p -cluster, so $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} U(e_n) = p_c$.

So, $\exists \infty$ many k values s.t. $U(e_k) = \sup_{n \geq k} U(e_n)$. For each such k , $\forall n \geq k$ $U(e_n) < U(e_k)$. So U_k separates x from $\{e_n\}_{n \geq k}$.

so $I(x)$ is 1-ended a.s. For any x, y , either $I(x) \cap I(y) = \emptyset$ or $|I(x) \cap I(y)| \leq \infty$. Now, $F = \bigcup_{x \in V} I(x)$, so for each $\omega \in F$

\exists uniquely chosen ray. If with $P > 0$, $\omega \in$ component with two ends,

$$\left\{ x_n \right\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{ the bi-int. path.} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} U(x_n, x_{n+1}) = p_c, \text{ so } \mathbb{E} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U(x_n, x_{n+1}) > 0.$$