

W7 D3
9/12/14

Uniform Spanning Trees

Goal In $\mathbb{Z}^d$, $\# \mu^w$ -trees is a.s. $\begin{cases} 1 & d=1, 2, 3, 4 \\ \infty & d>4 \end{cases}$ known already.

Thm. Let G be transitive and transient.

If $\sum_z G(p, z)^2 < \infty$ then 1. $P_{x,y_0}(\{x_n\} \cap \{y_n\} = \emptyset) = 1$.

2. $P_{x_0,y_0}(|E(\{x_n\}) \cap \{y_n\}| = \infty) = 1$.

3. μ^w -a.s. a single tree.

If $\sum_z G(p, z)^2 < \infty$ then 1. $P_{x,y_0}(\{x_n\} \cap \{y_n\} = \emptyset) = 0$ ← but we don't know this yet
2. μ^w -a.s. has ∞ many trees. this follows

$$E_x(|\{x_n\} \cap \{y_n\}|) = \sum_z \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} P_x(X_n=z) P_x(Y_m=z) = \sum_z G(x, z)^2.$$

In $\mathbb{Z}^d$: $d=1$ $P_0(X_n=0) = \frac{\binom{2n}{n}}{2^{2n}} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$. In general,

$$\forall d \geq 1 \quad P^n(0,0) \approx \frac{1}{n^{d/2}}$$

$$\sum_z G(p, z)^2 = \sum_z \sum_{n,m} P_p(X_n=z) P_p(Y_m=z) = \sum_{n,m} P^{n+m}(p,p) \approx 2 \sum_n \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{(n+m)^{d/2}} \approx$$

$$\sum_n \frac{1}{n^{d/2-1}} = \begin{cases} \infty & d=1, 2, 3, 4 \\ < \infty & d>4 \end{cases}$$

claim: When $\sum_z G(p, z)^2 < \infty$, $\forall \epsilon \exists x, y \in G \quad P_{x,y}(|\{x_n\} \cap \{y_n\}| = 0) > 1 - \epsilon$.

pf. We'll use the fact that for G transitive, $p^{2n}(x,y) < p^{2n}(x,x)$.

$$P_{x,y}(\exists N \forall m, n > N, x_m \neq y_n) = 1 \Rightarrow \exists N P_{x,x}(\forall m, n > N, x_m \neq y_n) \geq 1 - \epsilon.$$

$$P_{x,x}(\forall m, n > N, x_m \neq y_n) = \sum_{x,y} P_{x,0}(x_n=x) P_{x,y}(y_n=y) \geq 1 - \epsilon. \text{ So}$$

$$\exists x, y, P_{x,y}(\forall n, m \geq 0, x_n \neq y_m) \geq 1 - \epsilon.$$

So, if you start Wilson's alg rooted at ∞ for WSSTF, $P(x,y \text{ are in different comp.}) \geq 1 - \epsilon$.

This is true for $\forall \epsilon$ so $\mu^w(1 \text{ comp.}) = 0$. Similarly, $\mu^w(2 \text{ comp.}) = 0$.

[find $x_1, \dots, x_k$ s.t. $P_{x_1, \dots, x_k}(\text{No intersection}) \geq 1 - \epsilon$] so μ^w -a.s. we

have infinitely many components.

Write $I_N = \sum_{m,n=0}^N \mathbb{1}_{x_m=y_n}$. $E_{x,x} I_N = \sum_z G(x, z)^2 \xrightarrow{\infty}$ We'll use this argument:

Lemma 1 $\frac{(E_{x,x} I_N)^2}{E_{x,x} I_N^2} \geq \frac{1}{4}$, Lemma 2 $\forall x_0, y_0 \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(E_{x_0, y_0} I_N)^2}{E_{x_0, y_0} I_N^2} \geq \frac{1}{16}$. Then we'll use

Levi's 0-1 law to prove the main thm.

Recall $E(x,y) = E_x[\# \text{ visits to } y] - \text{only meaningful on a transient graph. Similarly, } E_N(x,y) = E_x[\# \text{ visits to } y \text{ before time } N]$

Prop. $Z \geq 0$ r.v. $\forall \epsilon \mathbb{P}(Z \geq \epsilon \mathbb{E} Z) \geq (1-\epsilon)^2 \frac{(\mathbb{E} Z)^2}{\mathbb{E} Z^2}$.

pf. Let A denote this event.

$$\mathbb{E}[Z \mathbb{1}_A]^2 \leq \mathbb{E}[Z^2] \mathbb{P}(A), \text{ but } (\mathbb{E}[Z \mathbb{1}_A])^2 = [\mathbb{E} Z - \mathbb{E} Z_{A^c}]^2 \geq (1-\epsilon)^2 (\mathbb{E} Z)^2.$$

Lemma 3 Will be specified later...

Levy 0-1 law - Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a prob. space, $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ a filtration, $\mathcal{F}_\infty = \sigma(\bigcup_{i=0}^\infty \mathcal{F}_i)$. Let x be an r.v. in L^1 . Then $\mathbb{E}[x | \mathcal{F}_k] \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{a.s.} \mathbb{E}[x | \mathcal{F}_\infty]$. If $x = \mathbb{1}_A$, $\mathcal{F}_\infty = \mathcal{F}$, $\mathbb{P}(A | \mathcal{F}_k) \rightarrow \mathbb{1}_A$. Most frequently, we use that in bounding $\mathbb{P}(A | \mathcal{F}_k)$ and get that it can't be too small (large) $\mathbb{P}(A) = 1$ (resp. 0).

Lemma 1 pf. $\mathbb{E}_{0,0} I_N = \sum_z G_N(0, z)^2$. $\mathbb{E}_{0,0} I_N^2 = \sum_{z,w} \sum_{m,n=0}^N \sum_{j,k=0}^N \mathbb{P}_0(x_m=z, y_n=w, x_j=w, y_k=z) =$

$$\sum_{z,w} \sum_{m,j} \mathbb{P}_0(x_m=z, x_j=w) \sum_{n,k} \mathbb{P}_0(y_n=z, y_k=w) = \sum_{m,j} \mathbb{P}_0(x_m=z, x_j=w) \mathbb{P}_0(y_n=z, y_k=w)$$

$$\sum_{m,j} \mathbb{P}_0(x_m=z, x_j=w) = \sum_{m \leq j} \mathbb{P}_0(x_m=z) \mathbb{P}_0(x_j=w | x_m=z) + \sum_{m > j} \mathbb{P}_0(x_j=w) \mathbb{P}_0(x_m=z | x_j=w) \leq$$

$$\leq G_N(0, z) G_N(z, w) + G_N(0, w) G_N(w, z). \text{ So, the large sum is at most } \mathbb{E}_{0,0} I_N^2 \leq \sum_{z,w} (G_N(0, z) G_N(z, w) + G_N(0, w) G_N(w, z))^2 \leq 4 \sum_{z,w} G_N(0, z)^2 G_N(z, w)^2 = 4(\mathbb{E} I_N)^2.$$

Lemma 2 pf. [assume $a_N = \mathbb{E} I_N \rightarrow \infty$]

$$\mathbb{E}_{x_0, y_0} I_N^2 = \sum_{z,w} \sum_{m,j=0}^N \mathbb{P}_{x_0}(x_m=z, x_j=w) \sum_{n,k=0}^N \mathbb{P}_{y_0}(y_n=z, y_k=w) \leq \sum_{z,w} [G_N(x_0, z) G_N(z, w) + G_N(x_0, w) G_N(w, z)] [G_N(y_0, z) G_N(z, w) + G_N(y_0, w) G_N(w, z)] \leq 4 \sum_{z,w} G_N(x_0, z)^2 G_N(z, w)^2 + G_N(y_0, z)^2 G_N(z, w)^2 + G_N(x_0, w)^2 G_N(w, z)^2 + G_N(y_0, w)^2 G_N(w, z)^2 = 16 a_N^2.$$

Also $\mathbb{E}_{x_0, y_0} I_N = \sum_{m,n=0}^N \sum_z \mathbb{P}_{x_0}(x_m=z) \mathbb{P}_{y_0}(y_n=z) = \sum_z G_N(x_0, z) G_N(y_0, z) \leq \sqrt{\sum_z G_N(x_0, z)^2 \sum_z G_N(y_0, z)^2} = a_N$. Put $u_N(x, y) = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{x, y} I_N}{a_N} \leq 1$ ($u_N(x, x) = 1$).

$\forall x_0, y_0$ fix r s.t. $\mathbb{P}(x_0, y_0) > 0$. $a_{r+N} = \sum_{m \leq n \leq N} \mathbb{P}_0(x_m = y_{r+n}) + \sum_{m \leq n \leq N} \mathbb{P}_0(x_m = y_n) + \sum_{m \leq n \leq N} \mathbb{P}(x_{N+m} = y_{r+n}) =$

so we'll get our lemma.
Details: next time.