

W7 D2
8/12/14

Geom. of Numbers

Voronoi's characterization of extreme forms/lattices

Def. $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is extreme if $\Lambda \cap B(0,1) = \{0\}$, and in some neighbourhood U of Λ , $\forall \Lambda' \in U$ is either similar to Λ , or $d(\Lambda') < d(\Lambda)$ or $\Lambda' \cap B(0,1) \neq \{0\}$ (local max. of $\frac{\lambda_1}{d(\Lambda)^{1/n}}$).

Def Q a pos. def. form is extreme ($Q = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} x_i x_j$, $(a_{ij}) \in \text{Sym}_n$) if \exists neighbourhood U of Q in the space of pos. def. quad. forms, $\forall Q' \in U$, either $Q' = cQ$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$, or $r(Q') < r(Q)$ [where $r(Q) = \min_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{Q(x)}{\|x\|^2}$].

[both defs. are equiv.]

~~Def~~ Let $\pm u_1, \dots, \pm u_s$ be the minimizers of $Q - \pm u_l \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $Q(\pm u_l) = \min_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} Q(x) = r$ [and $u_l, 1 \leq l \leq s$ are the only ones for which it's true], $1 \leq l \leq s$.

Def. Q is perfect if it's uniquely determined by the equations $Q(u_l) = r$, $1 \leq l \leq s$, i.e. $Q'(u_l) = r$, $1 \leq l \leq s \Rightarrow Q' = Q$.

If $Q' = \sum_{i,j=1}^n b_{ij} x_i x_j$, let $t_{ij} = b_{ij} - a_{ij} \in \text{Sym}_n$. Saying $Q(u_l) = Q'(u_l) = r$ is equiv. to saying $\sum_{i,j=1}^n t_{ij} u_i^{(l)} u_j^{(l)} = 0$ a lin. equation in t_{ij} , and Q perfect \Leftrightarrow ~~no~~ $t_{ij} \in \text{Sym}_n$ satisfy this lin. eq. for $1 \leq l \leq s$, except for the trivial $t_{ij} = 0$.

Let $\tilde{A} = (\tilde{a}_{ij}) = \text{adj } A$, $a_{ij} = \det A_{-ij} \cdot (-1)^{i+j}$, so $A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det A} (\tilde{A})^t$.

for a quad. form Q , denote by Q' the adjoint form $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \tilde{a}_{ij} x_i x_j$.

Def Q is extactic if $\exists p_1, \dots, p_s > 0$ s.t. $\tilde{Q}(x) = \sum_{l=1}^s p_l \langle u_l, x \rangle^2$.

Note $x \mapsto \langle x, u_l \rangle^2$ is a quad form of sig. $(1,0)$

Note $\tilde{a}_{ij} = \frac{\partial \det(a_{ij})}{\partial a_{ij}}$

Thm. (Voronoi) Q extreme $\Leftrightarrow Q$ perfect and extactic.

Lemma $S_0, S_1 \in \text{Sym}_n^+$ (sym. matrices giving pos. def. forms, i.e. $\det \text{sym}^+ \neq 0$) $\exists P$ invertible s.t. $P^t A P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. Define

$f: [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(\theta) = \det((1-\theta)S_0 + \theta S_1)$ is strictly

strictly concave

[Recall f is strictly concave if $\forall a, b \in [0, 1]$, any $\theta \in (0, 1)$,

$$f((1-\theta)a + \theta b) > (1-\theta)f(a) + \theta f(b).$$

f is strictly log-concave if $\log f$ is strictly concave.]

Pf. Strictly log-conc. \rightarrow ~~Strictly conc.~~ ^{for positive functions.}

~~$$\log f((1-\theta)a + \theta b) > (1-\theta)\log f(a) + \theta\log f(b) = \log f(a)^{1-\theta} f(b)^\theta.$$~~

so, $f((1-\theta)a + \theta b)$

First assume $S_0 = \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $S_1 = \text{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$. It's enough to check $\frac{d^2 \log f(\theta)}{d\theta^2} < 0$. But $f(\theta) = \det(\text{diag}((1-\theta)\alpha_i + \theta\beta_i))$

so $\log f(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log((1-\theta)\alpha_i + \theta\beta_i)$, so $\frac{d^2 \log f(\theta)}{d\theta^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-(\beta_i - \alpha_i)^2}{((1-\theta)\alpha_i + \theta\beta_i)^2} < 0$.

We just need to prove $\exists Q$ invertible s.t. $Q^t S_0 Q$ and

$Q^t S_1 Q$ are both diag. - we know $\exists Q_1$ s.t. $Q_1^t S_0 Q_1 = I$,

and $Q_1^t S_1 Q_1$ is symm, so $\exists P$ orthogonal such that

$P^t Q_1^t S_1 Q_1 P$ is diagonal, and ~~$P^t Q_1^t S_0 Q_1 P$~~ is a

~~diagonal matrix as well, so we can just take $Q = Q_1 P$,~~

so $P^{-1} = \text{diag}(\frac{1}{\mu_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{\mu_n}) P^t$, and therefore $P^t Q_1^t S_1 Q_1 P$ is

diagonal as it is $\underbrace{P^t P}_{\text{diag}} \cdot \underbrace{P^{-1} Q_1^{-1} S_1 Q_1 P}_{\text{diag}}$. Also,

$P^t Q_1^t S_0 Q_1 P = P^t P$ is \cup diagonal as well, so we just take

$$Q = Q_1 P. \quad \log \det S \geq \log \alpha$$

Cor. $\{S \in \text{Sym}_n^+ \mid \det(S) \geq \alpha\}$ is convex.

Cor. If $S_0 \neq S_1$ then $\frac{d}{d\theta} \big|_{\theta=0} \det((1-\theta)S_0 + \theta S_1) > 0$.

Pf. by lemma, $\frac{d}{d\theta} \log \det((1-\theta)S_0 + \theta S_1) > 0$ but this is just $\frac{d}{d\theta} \det((1-\theta)S_0 + \theta S_1) / \det S_0$.

Pf. of Voronoi's thm

Let $Q(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} x_i x_j$ be a pos. def. quad. form represented

by $A = (a_{ij}) \in \text{Sym}_n$, $D = \det A, \neq 0, \neq u_1, \dots, \neq u_n$ the minimizers of Q ,

$(t_{ij}) \in \text{Sym}_n$ parameters. For $p \in \mathbb{R}$ define $Q_p(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij} + p t_{ij}) x_i x_j$,

$D_p = \det A_p$. Note that $A_0 = A, Q_0 = Q, D_0 = D$, so for $|p| < \epsilon$

Q_p is pos. def. Assume Q is extreme, so $r(Q) = \min_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{Q(x)}{\det A^{1/n}} \leq r(Q_p)$ for small enough $|\rho|$. Then for each

ρ close enough to 0, $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}, \frac{Q_p(x)}{D_p^{1/n}} \leq \frac{Q(x)}{D^{1/n}}$.

For small enough ρ , x has to be a minimizer for Q , say $x = u_0$. So $\frac{\sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij} + \rho t_{ij}) u_i^{(0)} u_j^{(0)}}{D_p^{1/n}} \leq \frac{\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_i^{(0)} u_j^{(0)}}{D^{1/n}} (0)$ [neg. depend on ρ]

Suppose Q isn't perfect. Then we can choose t_{ij} ^(not all 0) s.t. $\sum_{i,j=1}^n t_{ij} u_i^{(0)} u_j^{(0)} = 0$, ~~but~~ ^{(0) implies} so $D_p \geq D$. But this contradicts cor. 2, applied to $S_0 = (a_{ij}), S_1 = (a_{ij} - \rho t_{ij})$.

To show Q is entactic, define $B = \{(\xi_{ij}) \in \text{Sym}_n \mid \sum_{i,j=1}^n t_{ij} \xi_{ij} \geq 0\}$, a half space of B depending on (t_{ij}) . Suppose $\forall \ell, B$ contains $(\xi_{ij}) = (u_i^{(\ell)}, u_j^{(\ell)})$. Then (0) implies $D_p \geq D$

when ρ is non-negative. By cor. 2 again $\frac{d}{d\rho} D_p = \sum_{i,j=1}^n t_{ij} \frac{\partial D}{\partial a_{ij}} \Big|_A = \sum_{i,j=1}^n t_{ij} \tilde{a}_{ij}$. So any half-space B in Sym_n which contains

$(u_i^{(0)}, u_j^{(0)})$, also contains (\tilde{a}_{ij}) . So (\tilde{a}_{ij}) is contained in the intersection of all such half-spaces, which is exactly the convex cone generated by $(u_i^{(0)}, u_j^{(0)})$. So we can

write $t_{ij}, \tilde{a}_{ij} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n^2} \rho_\ell u_i^{(\ell)} u_j^{(\ell)}, \rho_\ell \geq 0$. [in fact we can show $\rho_\ell > 0$ by further analysis]. This proves one direction.

For the other, assume Q perfect and entactic. Write

$\tilde{Q}(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \tilde{a}_{ij} x_i x_j$ the adj. form, $\tilde{a}_{ij} = \frac{\partial D}{\partial a_{ij}} \Big|_A$. Choose

(t_{ij}) s.t. $\sum_{i,j=1}^n t_{ij} \tilde{a}_{ij} = 0$. Define D_p like before.

$D_p = \det(a_{ij}) + \rho \sum_{i,j=1}^n \tilde{a}_{ij} t_{ij} + O(\rho^2) = D + O(\rho^2)$. Take ρ_1, \dots, ρ_s

positive given = 0 by s.t. $\tilde{a}_{ij} = \sum_{\ell=1}^s \rho_\ell u_i^{(\ell)} u_j^{(\ell)}$ (\exists such ρ 's by Q being entactic), so $\sum_{i,j=1}^n t_{ij} \tilde{a}_{ij} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^s t_{ij} \rho_\ell u_i^{(\ell)} u_j^{(\ell)}$.

So $\exists \ell_0 \sum_{i,j=1}^n t_{ij} u_i^{(\ell_0)} u_j^{(\ell_0)} < 0$, and therefore $\sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij} + \rho t_{ij}) u_i^{(\ell_0)} u_j^{(\ell_0)}$ ^{Not all 0 by Q perfect}

is less than $\frac{\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_i^{(\ell_0)} u_j^{(\ell_0)}}{D^{1/n}}$ for $\rho > 0$ sufficiently small.

This means that $\frac{Q_p(u_0)}{D_p} \ll \frac{Q(u_0)}{D} = r(Q)$, ~~for~~ for $p > 0$ sufficiently small $r(Q) \leq D_p$. Therefore, the directional derivative WRT (t_{ij}) is negative ~~wherever~~ ^{wherever} $\sum_{i,j=1}^n t_{ij} \tilde{a}_{ij} = 0$, and r goes down when walking in any direction in this subspace. If t_{ij} are multiples of a_{ij} , $\sum_{i,j=1}^n t_{ij} \tilde{a}_{ij}$ is a multiple of D , so the direction of (a_{ij}) isn't in this $(n-1)$ -dim subspace. Moving in the direction of (a_{ij}) doesn't effect $r(Q)$ [this is just rescaling]. So, r has a local max. at Q , therefore Q is extreme.

Ideology of proof: Similar to $\max_Q \min_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n} Q(x)$ subject to $\det(a_{ij})$ fixed ($\forall f$ here is just \tilde{A}).

Thm. (Voronoi) $\forall n \exists$ finitely many perfect forms. In particular, $r(Q)$ has finitely many local maxima, and since the maximum is attained (Voronoi showed it is one of those) it is attained on one of them.

Voronoi wrote an algorithm for enumerating all n -dim. perfect forms. To solve ~~the~~ sphere-packing: enumerate perfect forms (maybe check eutactic) and calculate $r(Q)$ on each.

This was [essentially] the strategy for $n \leq 8$. Computationally hard

dim	# perfect forms	# extreme forms
1	1	1
2	1	2
3	2	3
4	3	6
5	7	30
6	33	2048
7	10416	?
8	> 500,000	?

probably around 10^6 ...

for $n > 8$...
Perfect & eutactic - intrinsic descriptions
 recall perfect \Leftrightarrow
 if u_1, \dots, u_s minimizers,
 then $\sum_{i,j=1}^n t_{ij} u_i u_j = 0 \Rightarrow$
 $t_{ij} \equiv 0$. The common kernel

of those s lin. functionals is trivial, hence they generate the ~~span~~ dual space. In particular $s \geq \dim \text{Sym}_n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.

Cor Define $\text{Tr}(a_{ij}) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}$. Since $(u_i^{(1)}, u_j^{(2)})$ generate the dual space, $\exists \rho_1, \dots, \rho_s$ s.t. $\sum_{l=1}^s \rho_l P_{u_l}$ where $P_x(a_{ij}) \rightarrow \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} x_i x_j$

Fact If Q is eutactic $\rho_l > 0$ in the above formula $\Leftrightarrow \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|y\|^2 = \sum_{l=1}^s \rho_l \langle y, u_l \rangle^2$.

Corollaries of the algebraic theory of perfect & eutactic forms

Def. A lattice is perfect (resp. eutactic) iff its shortest vectors WRT ^{skipped}

euclidean norm u_1, \dots, u_s satisfy $\text{span}(t_{ij}) \rightarrow \sum_{i,j=1}^n t_{ij} u_i^{(1)} u_j^{(2)}$

Sym^n (resp. $\text{Tr} = \sum_{l=1}^s \rho_l P_{u_l}$ for $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_s > 0$).
similar to

Theorem any perfect lattice is a sublattice of \mathbb{Z}^n

Cor $r_n \in \mathbb{Q}$.

~~Proof~~

Def. $\pm u_1, \dots, \pm u_s$ are a eutactic set if (*) holds.

Thm This is true iff \exists orthonormal basis v_1, \dots, v_s of \mathbb{R}^s , a scalar $c > 0$ and an orth. proj. $P: \mathbb{R}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, s.t. $\pm u_l = \pm c P(v_l)$.

i.e., to construct a eutactic set, take an orthogonal $s \times s$ matrix, delete last $s-n$ rows, multiply by a scalar and take the columns.

In fact, all eutactic set can be found that way.

Prop. eutactic set is always invariant under an irreducible subgroup of orthogonal transformation.

Big finite groups are connected to eutactic lattices.