

WSD 2
1/12/14

Geometry of Numbers

Space of lattices

Goal: Put a topology on $\mathcal{L}_n = SL_n(\mathbb{R}) / SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ ($gSL_n(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow g\mathbb{Z}^n$)
 " " " " $\{\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n \mid \Lambda \text{ a lattice}\} = GL_n(\mathbb{R}) / GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$
 Integer matrices of det. ± 1 .

Those are homogeneous spaces. Can define the quotient topology inherited from a topology on $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ as a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n^2}$. This won't give us much info about it as a top. space.

Some equivalent definitions - (since $[SL_n(\mathbb{R})]$ is a Lie group and $[SL_n(\mathbb{Z})]$ is discrete)

Let $v_1, \dots, v_n$ be lin. ind., $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}v_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}v_n$ [with $d(\Lambda) = 1$]

and let $\epsilon > 0$, $U(v_1, \dots, v_n, \epsilon) = \{\mathbb{Z}u_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}u_n \mid u_1, \dots, u_n \text{ lin. ind. } \forall i \|v_i - u_i\| < \epsilon\}$
 is a basis for the top. [with $d(\Lambda) = 1$]

Equivalence - exercise.

We can define a notion of convergence $\Lambda_n \rightarrow \Lambda$ using this topology.

Prop. $\Lambda_j \rightarrow \Lambda \Rightarrow$ For any finite $F \subseteq \Lambda$ and $\epsilon > 0 \exists j_0 \forall j > j_0$, $v_j \in \Lambda_j$ with $\|f - v_j\| < \epsilon$. In particular, $\forall v \in \Lambda, \exists v_j \in \Lambda_j$ s.t. $v_j \rightarrow v$.

pf choose bases $v_1, \dots, v_n$ of Λ , $v_1^{(j)}, \dots, v_n^{(j)}$ of Λ_j s.t.

$v_i^{(j)} \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} v_i$. For each $f \in F$ write $f = \sum a_i v_i$, so

$f_j = \sum a_i v_i^{(j)} \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} f$, so $\exists j_0(f)$ s.t. $\forall j > j_0(f), \|f_j - f\| < \epsilon$.

Now, simply take $j_0 = \max_{f \in F} j_0(f)$.

Prop If $\Lambda_j \rightarrow \Lambda$ then $\Lambda = \{\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} v_j \mid v_j \in \Lambda_j \text{ and the limit exists}\}$.

Pf. Denote the set of limits Λ' . We've just seen $\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda'$.

For $\supseteq$, suppose $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\exists u_j \in \Lambda_j$ s.t. $u_j \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} x$. Choose

bases $v_1, \dots, v_n$ for Λ , $v_1^{(j)}, \dots, v_n^{(j)}$ for Λ_j s.t.

$v_i^{(j)} \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} v_i$. Let A_j be the lin. trans. $v_i^{(j)} \rightarrow v_i$, then

$A_j \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} I$. Let $y_j = A_j^{-1}(A_j u_j) \in \Lambda_1$, but $A_j u_j \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} x$, so

$y_j \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} A_1^{-1}x$. In particular y_j 's are constants from some

point on - say $\forall j > k, y_j = y_k$. Write $y_j = y_k = \sum a_i v_i^{(1)}$, so

$$u_j = A_j^{-1} A_1 y_j = \{a_i v_i^{(j)}\}. \text{ So } x = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} u_j = \{a_i \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} v_i^{(j)}\} = \{a_i v_i \in \Lambda\}.$$

What about such limit sets where Λ_j doesn't converge?

Example - $\Lambda_j = \mathbb{Z}(2^j, 0) + \mathbb{Z}(0, \frac{1}{2^j}) \in \mathcal{L}_2$. The set of limits is $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Chabauty Topology (AKA Gromov-Hausdorff topology on subgroups of $\mathbb{R}^n$). Let $\text{Sub}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be all subgroups of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Define $d(\Lambda, \Gamma) = \inf \{ \rho : B(0, \frac{1}{\rho}) \cap \Lambda \subseteq \Gamma \}$. Thm. This is a metric, $\text{Sub}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is G is locally compact, $\bigcup_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}_n} B(\Lambda, \frac{1}{\rho})$ $\text{Sub}(G)$ is a

compact metric space. Our topology is, in fact, a restriction of the Chabauty Topology to the space of lattices (in particular, metrizable).

Def If X_n top. space, $X_0 \subseteq X_n$ is bounded if $\bar{X}_0$ is compact.

Thm. (Mahler compactness criterion) $X_0 \subseteq \mathcal{L}_n$ is bounded $\Leftrightarrow$

$$\exists r > 0 \text{ s.t. } \forall \Lambda \in X_0, B(0, r) \cap \Lambda = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow \forall \Lambda \in X_0, \lambda_1(\Lambda) \geq r.$$

Equiv., $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_n$ has no convergent subsequence $\Leftrightarrow \lambda_1(\Lambda_j) \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0$.

Pf. $\Leftarrow$ suppose $\lambda_1(\Lambda_j) \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0$ and Λ_j convergent, $\Lambda_j \rightarrow \Lambda$. Let $r = \lambda_1(\Lambda) > 0$. Since $\lambda_1(\Lambda_j) \rightarrow 0$, $\exists v_j \in \Lambda_j$ for all sufficiently large j , with $\|v_j\| < \frac{r}{3}$, so $\exists u_j \in \Lambda_j$ with $\frac{r}{3} \leq \|u_j\| \leq \frac{2r}{3}$. So u_j has a convergent subsequence with $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} u_{j_i} = u \in \Lambda$, but $\|u\| \leq \frac{2r}{3} < \lambda_1(\Lambda)$.

$\Rightarrow$ Recall Minkowski's 2nd thm - $\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n \leq C$ (where C is some const. dependent on n), $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_n \leq C'$.

Λ contains a basis of vectors of length at most μ_n .

Assume by contradiction $\lambda_1(\Lambda_j) \geq r > 0$. Chooses bases $v_i^{(j)}$ with $\|v_n^{(j)}\| \leq \mu_n(\Lambda_j) \leq \frac{C'}{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{n-1}} \leq \frac{C'}{\lambda_1(\Lambda_j)^{n-1}} \leq \frac{C'}{r^{n-1}}$. So, in

some subsequence, $v_1^{(j)} \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} v_1, v_2^{(j)} \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} v_2, \dots$. Let Λ

be ~~the lattice~~ spanned by $v_1, \dots, v_n$. But

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \det \begin{pmatrix} v_1^{(j)} \\ \vdots \\ v_n^{(j)} \end{pmatrix} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} 1 = 1 \text{ so } \Lambda \in \mathcal{L}_n$$

Thm. (Mahler non-unimodular) $X_0 \subseteq \{\text{space of lattices}\}$ is bounded
iff (i) $\sup_{\Lambda \in X_0} d(\Lambda) < \infty$, (ii) $\inf_{\Lambda \in X_0} \lambda_1(\Lambda) > 0$.

pf. rescaling of prev. thm.

$\Delta_n = \inf\{d(\Lambda) \mid \Lambda \cap B(0,1) = \{0\}\}$. The following will show the inf is actually a min.

prop. Let A be a bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ containing a ball around the origin. $\Delta(A) = \inf\{d(\Lambda) \mid \Lambda \cap A^\circ = \{0\}\}$. Then $\exists$ lattice Λ with $\Delta(A) = d(\Lambda)$, $\Lambda \cap A^\circ = \{0\}$.

pf. Let $\Lambda_j \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be lattices with $d(\Lambda_j) \searrow \Delta(A)$. By Mahler, Λ_j has a converging subsequence, say $\Lambda_j \rightarrow \Lambda$.
If $v \in A^\circ \cap \Lambda$, $v \neq 0$, by def. $v \in \Lambda_j$ for sufficiently large j .

We used that when calculating Δ_n :

① Assumed inf is achieved by Λ .

② Showed it had 3 pairs of points with $\{x^2 - y^2 = 1\}$ (perturbation).

③ Showed Λ is hex. lattice up to rotations.

Equiv. to finding Δ_n $\sup_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}_n} \{\lambda_1(\Lambda)\} = \lambda_1^{(n)} = \Delta_n^{-1/n}$.

let Q be a pos. def. quad. form, $\nu(Q) = \inf_{x \neq 0} \frac{Q(x)}{\|x\|_2^n}$.

Define $\gamma_n = \sup_{Q \text{ pos. def.}} \nu(Q)$. $\gamma_n = (\lambda_1^{(n)})^2$. γ_n is the Hermite const.

γ_1, γ_2 are known and so is γ_n . They are achieved on a unique (up to scaling) quad. form.

Minkowski $I \rightarrow \Delta_n \geq \frac{\gamma_n}{2^n}$, so $\gamma_n \leq C \cdot n$. We'll see later $Cn \leq \gamma_n$. It isn't known whether $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_n}{n}$ exists.

Best known bounds - $\frac{1}{2^{n/2}} \leq \liminf \frac{\gamma_n}{n}, \limsup \frac{\gamma_n}{n} \leq \frac{1}{2^{n/2}}$. Also open:

pos. def. It γ_n increasing?

Def. Q quad form is extreme iff $\{Q\} \subseteq \{\text{Some neighbourhood of } Q\}$, Q_1 is either $\pm Q$ or $\nu(Q_1) < \nu(Q)$. Let Sym_n^+ be the space of symm. matrices sym_n^+ (pos. def. sym.).

remark - (a_{ij}) pos. def. $\Leftrightarrow \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} > 0$

def. Q pos. def. quad. form, $\lambda = \min_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} Q(x)$ $\det(a_{ij}) > 0$

with min. attained at $\pm u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s$. Q is perfect if it's uniquely determined by $x = Q(u)$.

Note: suppose $Q_1(x) = \sum b_{ij} x_i x_j$ s.t. $Q(u_1) = Q(u_2)$, so

$0 = Q_1(u_1) - Q_2(u_1) = \sum (b_{ij} - a_{ij}) u_{1i}^{(1)} u_{1j}^{(1)}$. So Q perfect $\Leftrightarrow$ no solutions $t_{ij} = t_{ji}$ to $\sum t_{ij} u_i^{(1)} u_j^{(1)} = 0$.

Given Q as above let $\tilde{A} = (\tilde{a}_{ij}) = \text{adj } A$. $Q(x) = \langle \tilde{A}x, x \rangle$.

Def. Q is eutactic if $\exists p_1, \dots, p_s$ s.t. $\tilde{Q}(x) = \sum_{l=1}^s p_l \langle u_l, x \rangle^2$
($u_1, \dots, \pm u_s$ as before).

Thm (Voronoi) Q extreme $\Leftrightarrow Q$ perfect & eutactic.

We'll prove that next week.