

W5 D2
24/11/14

Geometry of Numbers

Minkowski I - $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ convex & centrally symm. and Λ a lattice with $\text{Vol}(K) \geq 2^n d(\Lambda)$ then $\Lambda \cap K$ contains a non-zero point.

~~III~~

Minkowski Successive Minima let K be convex, centrally-symm bounded that contains a ball around 0 - so we can define a norm $\|x\|_k = \inf\{r > 0 : x \in rK\}$. Furthermore, any norm on \mathbb{R}^n is $\|\cdot\|_k$ for some such K .

Given K as above and $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ a lattice $i=1, \dots, n$ define $\lambda_i = \inf\{r > 0 \mid \begin{matrix} rK \cap \Lambda \\ \text{contains } i \text{ lin. ind. vectors} \end{matrix}\}$.

Since K is bounded & Λ discrete, $\lambda_i = \|v_i\|_K$ for some $v_i \in \Lambda$. Clearly $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$.

Claim $\lambda_1 \leq \frac{2^n d(\Lambda)}{\text{Vol}(K)}$

Pf If $r < \lambda_1$ then $rK \cap \Lambda = \{0\}$ so $r^n \text{Vol}(K) < 2^n d(\Lambda)$,

so $r^n \leq \frac{2^n d(\Lambda)}{\text{Vol}(K)}$, so this is also true for λ_1 . both sharp - $K = [-1, 1]^n, \Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$ achieves the upper bound

Minkowski II ~~$\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n \leq \frac{2^n d(\Lambda)}{\text{Vol}(K) \cdot n!}$~~ $\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n \leq \frac{2^n d(\Lambda)}{\text{Vol}(K)}$.

We'll only prove lower bound + upper for $K = B(0, 1)$ only.

Recall The crit. det. $\Delta(K) = \inf\{d(\Lambda) \mid K \cap \Lambda = \{0\}\}$.

Minkowski I $\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\Delta(K)} \leq \frac{2^n}{\text{Vol}(K)}$ [if not $2^n \Delta(K) < \text{Vol}(K) \Rightarrow \exists \Lambda \subset \text{t. } 2^n d(\Lambda) < \text{Vol}(K)$ and $\Lambda \cap K = \{0\}$].

~~III~~ For Euclidean norm we'll actually prove the stronger

upper bound $\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n \leq \frac{d(\Lambda)}{\Delta(K)}$ (*). Davenport conj. -

⊗ holds for any K as above. Best known -

$$\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n \leq \frac{2^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} d(\Lambda)}{\Delta(K)}.$$

Pf. of LHS Replacing K by ΛK , Λ by $A\Lambda$ for some invertible A we can assume $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let v_1, \dots, v_n

be the vectors associated with x_1, \dots, x_n .

Let $B = (\frac{v_1}{x_1} \frac{v_2}{x_2} \dots \frac{v_n}{x_n})$ and $I = |\det(B)| > 0$ (since v_1, \dots, v_n are lin. ind.). In fact $I = [\lambda_1 : \dots : \lambda_n]$, the points $\frac{v_i}{\lambda_i} \in \partial K$. We can assume WLG $\bar{\lambda} = \lambda$ so they're in K .

Let $P = \text{conv}(\pm \frac{v_i}{x_i}, i=1, \dots, n) \subseteq K$. So,

$$\text{Vol}(K) \geq \text{Vol}(P) = \frac{2^n}{n!} \cdot \frac{I}{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n} \geq \frac{2^n}{n!} \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n \geq \frac{2^n}{n!} \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(K)}.$$

~~ED/2022~~

Pf. of RHS for $K = B(0,1)$ v_1, \dots, v_n like last pf. $\|v_i\|_K = \lambda_i$.

Perform Gram-Schmidt to get u_1, \dots, u_n orth., with $\text{span } v_1, \dots, v_n = \text{span } u_1, \dots, u_n$.

We can write each v_i as $\sum_{j=1}^n t_{ij} u_j$ with $t_{ii} \neq 0$. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be integers, not all zero. $\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j v_j = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j \geq i} \alpha_j t_{ji} \right) u_i$ so its norm is

$$(*) \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j \geq i} \alpha_j t_{ji} \right)^2 \stackrel{?}{=} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j v_j \right\|^2. \quad \text{Claim} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} \left(\sum_{j \geq i} \alpha_j t_{ji} \right)^2 \geq 1. \quad \text{pf of the claim}$$

Let j be the best index with $\alpha_j \neq 0$, so $\alpha_j = 0$ for $j > j$. Then $\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j v_j \notin \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{j-1})$ so $\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j v_j \right\|^2$. Therefore $\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j v_j \right\|^2 \geq \lambda_j^2$,

by the def. of λ_j . All summands in $(*)$ with $i > j$ are 0.

Since $\lambda_j \leq \lambda_i$ for $j \leq i$, we get $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} \left(\sum_{j \geq i} \alpha_j t_{ji} \right)^2 = \sum_{i=j}^n \left(\sum_{j \geq i} \alpha_j t_{ji} \right)^2 \geq$

$$\sum_{i=j}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_j^2} \left(\sum_{j \geq i} \alpha_j t_{ji} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda_j^2} \sum_{i=j}^n \left(\sum_{j \geq i} \alpha_j t_{ji} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda_j^2} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j v_j \right\|^2 \geq 1.$$

Now, let Λ' be the lattice generated by $v_j' = \frac{v_1}{\lambda_1} u_1 + \dots + \frac{v_j}{\lambda_j} u_j$.

By claim, $\|v'\| \geq 1$ for every $v' \in \Lambda' \setminus \{0\}$, so $B(0,1) \cap \Lambda' = \{0\}$

so $\lambda_n \leq d(\Lambda') = \frac{1}{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n} \cdot d(\Lambda)$, so $\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n \leq \frac{d(\Lambda)}{\lambda_n}$ which gives

the upper bound \rightarrow RHS of Minkowski II

Lattice Reduction Algorithms

Goal of lattice reduction - find an efficient basis -

short v_1, \dots, v_n which span a lattice. How can we do it practically.

Naive approach Take some K conv. and v_1, \dots, v_n with $\|v_i\|_K = \lambda_i$. Recall

however, they may not generate $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_n \oplus \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}(1, 1, \dots, 1)$
 for $n \geq 5$ is an example (VRT Euclidean norm).

To remedy this, define $\nu_i = \inf\{r \geq 0 \mid \text{rank } \text{lin. ind. primitive vectors} \leq i\}$.

Minkowski II - $\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n \leq C(n, k)$ for $\Lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. We wish to similarly bound ν_i .

$$\text{prop. } \nu_i \leq \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{i-1} \lambda_i.$$

A basis v_1, \dots, v_n with $\|v_i\|_k = \nu_i$ is said to be Minkowski reduced.

Clearly \exists such basis & $\nu_1 \leq \dots \leq \nu_n$

pf clearly $\nu_1 = \lambda_1$. Write $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_k$. Let v_1, \dots, v_n with $\|v_i\| = \lambda_i$ and u_1, \dots, u_n a basis with $\|u_i\| = \nu_i$. WLCG assume $v_1 = u_1$. Consider u_2, \dots, u_{k-1} and v_2, \dots, v_k .

Each is lin. ind. so $\exists j \neq 1$ s.t. v_j isn't a lin.

comb. of u_2, \dots, u_k . $\|v_j\| = \lambda_j \leq \lambda_k$. u_1, \dots, u_{k-1} are primitive

so $\exists a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $u = a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} u_{k-1} + p v$ with

u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, u primitive, with ~~$p \in \mathbb{Z}$~~ , $p \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

v_j is an integral lin. comb. of u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, u -

so by lin. ind. there is a unique such representation,

~~$\lambda_j = \frac{p}{\lambda_k} \in \mathbb{Z}$~~ , $|p| \leq 1$. By replacing u_i

with $u_i - k_j u$ if necessary ($k_j \in \mathbb{Z}$) we may

assume $|a_i| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. $\nu_k \leq \|u\|_k / \|a_1\| \leq \|u_1\| + \dots + \|a_{k-1}\| / \|u_{k-1}\| +$

$+ |p| \|v_j\| \leq \frac{\|u_1\| + \dots + \|u_{k-1}\|}{2} + \lambda_k$. The bound follows by induction-

seen for $k=1$, by induction $\nu_k \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \lambda_i + \frac{3}{2} \lambda_2 + \dots + \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{k-2} \lambda_{k-1} \right) + \lambda_k \leq$

$\frac{1}{2} \lambda_k \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} + \dots + \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{k-1} \right) + \lambda_k = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_k \left(\frac{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{k-1} - 1}{\frac{3}{2} - 1} \right) + \lambda_k = \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{k-1} \lambda_k$.

Ques. Is prop optimal? no-optimal estimate unknown. For Eucl. norm, ~~$\nu_i = \lambda_i$~~ , $i=1, \dots, 4$, $\nu_i \leq \left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^{i-4} \lambda_i$ for $i \geq 4$.

Conj. $\nu_1 \leq \dots \leq \nu_n = \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{n-1} \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n$. If true it must be optimal.

Cor. $\nu_1 \dots \nu_n = \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n$.

Correction we have to choose u_k s.t. u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, u_k is primitive - not clear how/why we can ...

Korkine-Zolotarev Reduction

Let Λ be a lattice, $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_2$. Choose $v_i \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}$ as short as possible. Suppose v_1, \dots, v_{i-1} where chosen. Choose v_i with min. projection (nonzero) onto $(v_1, \dots, v_{i-1})^\perp$.

Claim v_1, \dots, v_n form a basis of Λ , (ex.).

$$\text{Thm. } \frac{4}{i+3} \mu_i \leq \|v_i\| \leq \frac{i+3}{4} \mu_i$$

LLL Algorithm - given d lin. ind. $v_1, \dots, v_d \in \mathbb{R}^n$, produce u_1, \dots, u_d a basis of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathbb{Z} v_i$ with $\|u_i\| \leq c_i(d, \lambda) \mu_i$ using $O(d^5 n (\log \max \|v_i\|)^3)$.

Thm Finding the shortest vector in Λ is NP-hard & "generically NP-hard".

ill