

Calculus 2 - Sequences of functions

Adi Gluckskin
Arazim

March 12, 2015

1 Pointwise Convergence

$f_n : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we will say that f_n converges pointwise to f at point x if $\{f_n(x)\} \rightarrow f(x)$ we can say that f_n converges pointwise to f in the segment I if for all $x \in I$ $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$

1.1 examples

1.

$$f_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad f_n(x) = \frac{x}{n}$$

We will fix x and then we have that $f_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ which means that for all x we have point wise convergence to 0.

$$f_n(x) = x^n \quad f_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad f_n \rightarrow f(0,1] \quad f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & |x| < 1 \\ 1 & x = 1 \end{cases}$$

2.

$$f_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad f_n(x) = (2 \cos x)^{-n} = \frac{1}{2 \cos x)^n}$$

And then from the example $f_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ $\frac{1}{2 \cos x} = 1$ then $f_n(x) \rightarrow 1$ for $x \in \{\pm \frac{\pi}{3} + 2\pi k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ Here, we saw that at some point we had that $f_n(x) = 1$ and we have a function of the type t^n therefore there is a point where x converges to 1. If we look at the function of $\cos x$ we see that it equals to $\frac{1}{2}$ at $\frac{\pi}{3}$, we will proceed to divide the function into sections where it converges. For all of the following, $f_n(x) \rightarrow 0$:

- $x \in \{(-\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3}) + 2\pi k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$
- $x \in \{(\frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{4\pi}{3}) + 2\pi k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$
- $x \in \{(-\frac{4\pi}{3}, \frac{2\pi}{3}) + 2\pi k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

3.

$$f_n : [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad f_n(x) = \begin{cases} x & x < \frac{1}{n} \\ \frac{2}{n} - x & \frac{1}{n} < x < \frac{2}{n} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

there exists an N such that for all $n > N$ $x > \frac{2}{n} \Rightarrow f_n(x) = 0$ therefore for all $x \in (0,1]$ $x = 0$: $f_n(x) = 0$ and in particular $f_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$ we will check uniform convergence:

$$\sup_{[0,1]} |f_n - f| = \sup_{[0,1]} |f_n| = \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

which means that $f_n \Rightarrow 0$

4. $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in a similar fashion to the previous example, we have pointwise convergence to the function 0. However $\sup_{[0,1]} |f_n - f| = 1 \not\rightarrow 0$ and in particular we do not have uniform convergence.

In a wider sense, then we want to negate uniform convergence we will find a sequence of points $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and a sub sequence $\{n_k\}$ such that $|f_{n_k}(x_k) - f(x_k)| < \epsilon_0$ for all k ($\epsilon_0 > 0$ is a constant, the same one for all k) for instance, in example 2 $n_k = k, x_k = \frac{1}{k}$

2 Problems

Check the convergence of the following sequences of functions:

1. $f_n(x) = x^n - x^{n+1}$ in the section $[0, 1]$
We have pointwise convergence to $f = 0$.

$$g_n = f_n = x^n - x^{n+1} \quad g'_n(x) = nx^{n-1} - (n+1)x^n = x^{n-1}(n - (n+1)x) = 0$$

Suspicious extremum points, $x = 0, x_n = \frac{n}{n+1} \in [0, 1]$

$$\sup_{[0,1]} |f_n - f| \leq \max\{|f_n(0)|, |f_n(x_n)|, |f_n(1)|\} = \max\{0, |f_n(x_n)|\} = \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^n \left(1 - \frac{n}{n+1}\right) \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

Note: If $f_n(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} |f_n(x) - f(x)|$ or $\lim_{x \rightarrow b} \dots$ do not exist, in this case we must check that: $\limsup_{\substack{x \rightarrow a \\ x \rightarrow b}} |f_n(x) - f(x)| \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$

2.

$$f_n : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad f_n(x) = \frac{x^2}{x^2 + (nx - 1)^2}$$

We will notice that $g_n = f_n - f$ is differentiable and we would use that in order to find $\sup_{(0,1)} |g_n|$

$$g'_n(x) = f'_n(x) = \dots = \frac{2x(1 - nx)}{x^2 + (nx - 1)^2} = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0, x_n = \frac{1}{n}$$

$$\sup_{(0,1)} |f_n - f| = \sup |f_n| \leq \max\left\{\left|f_n\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right|, L - 0, L_1\right\}$$

$$L_{0_n} = \limsup_{x \rightarrow 0} |f_n(x)| \quad L_{1_n} = \limsup_{x \rightarrow 1} |f_n(x)|$$

$$L_{0_n} = \limsup_{x \rightarrow 0} |f_n(x)| = \limsup_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x^2}{x^2 + (nx - 1)^2} = 0$$

$$L_{1_n} = \dots \rightarrow 0$$

$$f_n\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = \frac{\frac{1}{n^2}}{\frac{1}{n^2} + (n \cdot \frac{1}{n} - 1)^2} = 1$$

For the sequence $x_n = \frac{1}{n}$ we will get that

$$\sup_{(0,1)} |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq |f_n(x_n) - f(x_n)| = 1$$

and in particular we do not have uniform continuity.

3 Claim

$A = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a finite set then $f_n \xrightarrow{u} f \Leftrightarrow f_n \xrightarrow{p} f$

\Leftarrow Uniform continuity is always pointwise convergent.

\Rightarrow for all $1 \leq j \leq m$ $f_n(x_j) \rightarrow f(x_j)$ from pointwise convergence, if we take $\epsilon > 0$ for each j there exists an N_j such that for all $n > N_j$ $\epsilon > |f_n(x_j) - f(x_j)|$ Now we will choose $N_0 = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} N_j$, then for all $n > N_0$

$$\sup_{x \in A} |f_n(x) - f(x)| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} |f_n(x_j) - f(x_j)| < \epsilon$$

where the last inequality comes from the pointwise convergence and therefore we have uniform convergence.

A finite number of points does not affect uniform convergence (if there is pointwise convergence).

4 Definition

if we have a set of functions \mathcal{F} which are all defined on the set A ($\forall f \in \mathcal{F}, f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$) we can say that \mathcal{F} is uniformly bounded if there exists an M such that $\sup_{\substack{a \in A \\ f \in \mathcal{F}}} |f(a)| \leq M$ or in other words,

$$\forall a \in A, \forall f \in \mathcal{F}. |f(a)| \leq M$$

4.1 Problems

Given $f_n : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a segment such that $f_n \xrightarrow{u} f$.

1. Prove that if $\{f_n\}$ is bounded uniformly by M then f is bounded by M

Proof we will show that for all $\epsilon > 0$, $\sup_A |f(X)| < M + \epsilon$ and therefore f is bounded by M . We will set $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an N such that for all $n > N$ $\sup_A |f_n - f|$ from uniform continuity and in particular for all $x \in A$

$$|f(x)| \leq |f(x) - f_n(x)| \overset{<\epsilon}{<} + f_n(x) \overset{<M}{<} M + \epsilon$$

and because this is true for all $x \in A$ we have $\sup_A |f| < M + \epsilon$

2. Prove that if $\sup_A |f| \leq M_0$ then there exists an N such that $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n=N}^{\infty}$ is uniformly bounded (is uniform convergence necessary here?)

How should we approach this problem?

$$|f_n(x)| \leq |f_n(x) - f(x)| + |f(x)| < M_0 + 1$$

for $\epsilon > 1$ there exists an N such that for all $n \geq N$ $\sup_A |f_n - f| < 1$ and therefore for all $x \in A$ we have that in particular $\sup_A |f_n| < M_0 + 1$ for all $n \geq N$ as in $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n=N}^{\infty}$ is uniformly bounded by $M_0 + 1$.

3. Prove that if f_n is bounded (each one separately) then $\{f_n\}$ is uniformly bounded.

Proof we will show that the function is bounded and use 2.

For $\epsilon = 1$ there exists an N_0 such that for all $n \geq N_0$: $\sup_A |f_n - f| < 1$ and in particular

$$\sup_A |f_n(x)| \leq \sup_A \left| f(x) - f_0(x) \right| + \sup_A |f_{N_0}| < M_{N_0} + 1$$

we will learn that f and now from 2 there exists an N such that $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n=N}^\infty$ is uniformly bounded by $M_{N_0} + 2$ and we will choose

$$M = \max\{M_{N_0} + 2, \max_{1 \leq k \leq N} M_k + 2\}$$

then for all n if $n \leq N$ then $\sup_A |f_n| \leq M_n \leq M$ if $n > N$ then $f_n \in \mathcal{F}$ and in particular $\sup_A |f_n| < M_{N_0} + 2 \leq M$ in total we get uniform boundedness.

5 Claim

If $f_n \xrightarrow{u} f$ $g_n \xrightarrow{u} g$ then $f_n + g_n \xrightarrow{u} f + g$

proof if $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an N such that for all $n > N$ $\sup_A |f_n - f| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and $\sup_A |g_n - g| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ therefore for all $n > N$:

$$\sup_A |(f_n + g_n) - (f + g)| \leq \sup_A |f_n - f| + \sup_A |g_n - g| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

therefore $\sup_A |(f_n + g_n) - (f + g)| \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ Something to think about what happens when $f_n \cdot g_n \rightarrow f \cdot g$ do we need more information?

5.1 example

if we have $a > 0$ and $f = f_0$ which is Riemann integrable in the section $[0, a]$ we will mark the sequence of functions

$$f_n(x) = \int_0^x f_{n-1}(t) dt$$

Show that $\{f_n\}$ converges uniformly.

f is Riemann integrable which implies boundedness. We will mark this boundary with M .

$$|f_1(x)| \leq \int_0^x |f_0(t)| dt < M \cdot x$$

$$|f_2(x)| \leq \int_0^x |f_1(t)| dt < \int_0^x M t dt = \frac{M \cdot x^2}{2}$$

by induction, we can show that $|f_n(x)| \leq M \cdot \frac{x^n}{n!}$ and from this we get that $f_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$ because for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have that $\frac{x^n}{n!} \rightarrow 0$ and $f_n \rightrightarrows 0$ because

$$\sup_{[0, a]} |f_n - \mathcal{F}| = \sup_{[0, a]} |f_n| \leq M \frac{a^n}{n!} \rightarrow 0$$

and by definition we get uniform continuity.

Note that if we take $f_n : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ then $f_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$, what about uniform convergence?

6 Claim

if we take $f_n : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_n \xrightarrow{p} f$ in $[a, b]$ and $f_n \xrightarrow{u} f$ in (a, b) then $f_n \Rightarrow f$ in $[a, b]$

Proof We will set $\epsilon > 0$.

1. $f_n \xrightarrow{u} f$ in $(a, b) \Rightarrow$ there exists N_1 such that for all $n > N_1$ $\sup_{[a, b]} |f_n - f| < \epsilon$
2. $f_n(b) \xrightarrow{p} f(b)$ therefore there exists N_2 such that for all $n > N_2$ $|f_n(b) - f(b)| < \epsilon$
3. The same for a, N_3

We will choose $N = \max\{N_1, N_2, N_3\}$ then for all $n > N$:

$$\sup_{[a, b]} |f_n - f| = \max\{|f_n(a) - f(a)|, |f_n(b) - f(b)|, \sup_{[a, b]} |f_n(x) - f(x)|\} < \epsilon$$

6.1 Example

$g \in C([0, 1])$, $g(1) = 0$ we will define $f_n(x) = x^n \cdot g(x)$ from that $\{f_n\}$ is uniformly convergent in $[0, 1]$

Proof: for all $x \in [0, 1)$ we have $f_n(x) \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ and $f_n(1) = 0$ therefore $f_n \xrightarrow{p} f \Rightarrow f = 0$

1. **First way** Let $\epsilon > 0$

It is easy to see that for all $q < 1$ the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is uniformly convergent in the segment $[0, q]$ and we will notice that for $b_n \nearrow b, a_n \searrow a$ it is possible that $\{f_k\}$ is uniformly convergent for $[a_n, b_n]$ but not in (a, b)
Will $\{x^n \cdot g(x)\}$ uniformly converge in $[0, q]$?

- (a) There exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for all $1 - \delta < x < 1$, $|g(x)| < \epsilon$ and in particular, for all n ,

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| = |f(x)| = |x|^n \cdot |g(x)| < |x|^n \cdot \epsilon < \epsilon$$

- (b) $g \in C[0, 1]$ therefore g is bounded by M :

$$|f_n(x)| < |x|^n \cdot M \stackrel{\forall x \in [0, 1-\delta]}{\leq} (1 - \delta)^n \cdot M$$

We will choose an N such that for all $n > N$, $(1 - \delta)^n \cdot M < \epsilon$ then for all $n > N$

$$\sup_{[0, 1]} |f_n(x) - f(x)| = \max\left\{\sup_{[0, 1-\delta]} |f_n(x)|, \sup_{[1-\delta, 1]} |f_n(x)|\right\} \stackrel{(2)}{<} \epsilon$$

Note. It is necessary to define $g(1) = 0$ or else we would have that $g \equiv 1$ which is a contradiction to the fact that

2. **Second way**

Dini Theorem: If we have $f_n : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is a decending sequence ($f_{n-1} > f_n$), $f_n \xrightarrow{p} f$ and both f_n and f are continuous. Then $f_n \xrightarrow{u} f$.
Note that it is sufficient that for all points, $\{f_n(x)\}$ is monotonic.

(a) g is continuous $\Rightarrow f_n$ is continuous.

(b) $f \equiv 0$ is continuous.

(c) For all x :

$$f_{n+1}(x) = x^{n+1}, \quad g(x) = x \cdot x^n, \quad g(x) = x \cdot f_n(x)$$

$f_n(x) > 0$ or $0 > f_n(x)$ for all n , it depends on g .

We will assume WLOG $0 < g(x)$ $f_{n+1}(x) = x \cdot f_n(x)$ $\stackrel{f_n(x) > 0, x < 1}{<} f_n(x) \Rightarrow \{f_n(x)\}$ is monotonously descending. From the Theorem, we can learn that the convergence is uniform.

7 Switching Limits

1.

$$\forall n. \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f_n(x) = a_n \quad a_n \rightarrow a$$

$$\stackrel{?}{\Rightarrow} \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = a \quad f_n \xrightarrow{p} f$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) \stackrel{?}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a$$

In general this is not true!, for example:

$$x_n = f_n(x) \quad f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < 1 \\ 1 & x = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} f(x) = 0 \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} f_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 = 1$$

If there is uniform convergence then we can (Homework)

2.

$$\int_a^b f = \lim_{\lambda(\Pi) \rightarrow 0} \Sigma(f, \Pi, \tilde{t}) = \lim_{\lambda(\Pi) \rightarrow 0} \Sigma(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n, \Pi, \tilde{t}) \stackrel{?}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\lambda(\Pi) \rightarrow 0} \Sigma(f_n, \Pi, \tilde{t})$$

Allowed only if there is uniform convergence)

8 Question from a test 2014

$f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are convergent and $f_n \xrightarrow{u} f$

Prove that:

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k \xrightarrow{u} f$$

In Calculus 1 we saw that

$$\{a_n\}, a_n \rightarrow a \Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \rightarrow a$$

Proof $a_n \rightarrow a \Rightarrow$ there exists an N such that for all $n > N$: $|a_n - a| < \epsilon$ WLOG $a = 0$

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^N a_k + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=N+1}^n |a_k| < \frac{M}{n} + \frac{1}{n} (n - N) \cdot \epsilon \stackrel{\text{for } n \gg N}{<} \epsilon$$

Proof $f_n \xrightarrow{u} f$ there exists an N such that for all $n > N$

$$\sup_{[0,1]} |f_n - f| < \epsilon$$

WLOG assume $f \equiv 0$. $\{f_n\}$ are continuous therefore for all n there exists

$$M_n = \max_{[0,1]} |f_n| \quad M := \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} M_n$$

(\star) For all $1 \leq n \leq N$ we have that $\max_{[0,1]} |f_n| \leq M$

$$\sup_{[0,1]} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(x) - f \right| \stackrel{f \equiv 0}{\leq} \frac{1}{n} \left[\sup_{[0,1]} \sum_{k=1}^N |f_k(x)| + \sup_{[0,1]} \sum_{k=N+1}^n |f_k(x)| \right] < \frac{1}{n} [M + (n - N) \cdot \epsilon] \stackrel{\text{For a large } n}{<} \epsilon$$